# NATIONAL CHEMISTRY OLYMPIAD 2024 

## MARKING SCHEME PRELIMINARY ROUND 1

To be conducted from 15 until 31 January 2024


- This preliminary round consists of 25 multiple choice questions divided over 9 topics and 2 problems with a total of 8 open questions as well as an answer sheet for the multiple choice questions.
- Use the answer sheet to answer the multiple choice questions.
- Use for each problem with open questions a separate answer sheet. Don't forget to put your name on it.
- The maximum score for this work is 77 points.
- The preliminary round lasts up to two full hours.
- Required materials: (graphic) calculator and BINAS $6^{\text {th }}$ or $7^{\text {th }}$ edition, ScienceData $1^{\text {st }}$ edition or BINAS $5^{\text {th }}$ edition, English version.
- For each question the number of points you can score are given.
- Unless otherwise stated, standard conditions apply: $T=298 \mathrm{~K}$ and $p=p_{0}$.

For every correct answer: 2 points

|  |  | Carbon chemistry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D | In step 1, an addition of HBr takes place to the double bond. In step 2, Br is substituted by OH . |
| 2 | B | The polymer was created by addition polymerization of |
| 3 | B | At B , the chlorine atom can replace an H atom on C atom 1 or on C atom 2. The following monochloro substitution products are formed: <br> and <br> When the chlorine atom is placed on C atom $1, \mathrm{C}$ atom 2 becomes an asymmetric C atom, indicated with an asterisk. There are therefore two mirror image isomers of that structure that have the same boiling point. <br> The other compounds produce more than three isomers. |
| 4 | B | The electron pair from the bond between the 0 atom and the C atom becomes a lone pair on the O atom. The arrow must therefore point in the direction of the 0 atom. |
|  |  | Reaction rate and equilibrium |
| 5 | D | When the solution is diluted, the equilibrium shifts to the right. The number of moles of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$increases. However, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$becomes smaller. So the pH increases. |
| 6 | A | $\frac{0.98 \times 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3}}{88} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ is converted in $4.0 \times 60 \mathrm{sec}$. So the reaction rate is $\frac{\frac{0.98 \times 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3}}{88}}{4.0 \times 60}=4.6 \cdot 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. |
| 7 | C | $K=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{2}$ <br> $0.2000-0.1513 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ has been dissolved, that is $\frac{0.2000-0.1513}{487.1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. $\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]=\frac{\frac{0.2000-0.1513}{487.1}}{0.100}=9.998 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{molL}^{-1} \text { and }\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]=2 \times 9.998 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{molL}^{-1} .$ <br> So $K=9.998 \cdot 10^{-4} \times\left(2 \times 9.998 \cdot 10^{-4}\right)^{2}=4.00 \cdot 10^{-9}$. |
| 8 | C | The reaction rate depends on the degree of distribution of the zinc, the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and the temperature. These have all remained the same at C . |


|  |  | Thermochemistry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | A | For reaction 1 applies $\Delta H=-0.133 \cdot 10^{5}+2 \times 0.332 \cdot 10^{5}=0.531 \cdot 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$ per $\mathrm{mol}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. <br> For reaction 2 applies $\Delta H=-0.332 \cdot 10^{5}+0.913 \cdot 10^{5}=0.581 \cdot 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$ per $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. <br> For the total conversion, $0.725 \cdot 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$ per $\mathrm{mol}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was needed. <br> The number of moles of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ that still has to be converted is therefore $\frac{0.725 \cdot 10^{5}-0.531 \cdot 10^{5}}{0.581 \cdot 10^{5}}=0.334 \mathrm{~mol} .$ <br> Per mole of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 2$ moles of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ are formed, so in reaction $2, \frac{0.334}{2} \times 100=16.7 \%$ of the generated $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is further converted. |
|  |  | Structures and formulas |
| 10 | D | In structure A, the charges on the nitrogen atoms are incorrect. <br> In structures B and C the structures do not have enough electrons and the charges are also incorrect. |
| 11 | B | In $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$, the C has a double bond to the O and a single bond to the Cl atoms. There are no lone pairs on the C atom. In the other answers, the indicated atoms have an electron domain geometry of 4 . |
| 12 | B | $\mathrm{Hg}^{+}$has 79 electrons. $\mathrm{I}^{-}$has 54. $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ have 28. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ has 26. |
|  |  | pH / acid-base |
| 13 | C |  |
| 14 | C | The sodium hydroxide solution contains $150 \times 0.150=22.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ and the hydrochloric acid contains $250 \times 0.100=25.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. <br> Therefore there is $25.0-22.5=2.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$in excess. <br> The total volume is $150+250=400 \mathrm{~mL}$, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=\frac{2.5}{400} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \frac{2.5}{400}=2.20$. |


| 15 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \\ & \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-7.41=6.59 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-6.59} \\ & K_{\mathrm{b}}=1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right] \times\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right] \times 10^{-6.59}}{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}\right]} \\ & {\left[\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}\right]}=\frac{1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}}{10^{-6.59}}=0.62\right.} \end{aligned}$ <br> The mole ratio is therefore $0.62 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(M=120 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\left(M=142 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. <br> The mass ratio is $74.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}: 142 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}=1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}: 1.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} .$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Redox and electrolysis |
| 16 | C | For the production of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Li} \frac{1.0}{6.941} \mathrm{~mol}$ electrons are required. <br> For the production of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \frac{1.0}{26.98} \times 3 \mathrm{~mol}$ electrons are required. <br> Therefore the production of 1.0 g Al takes $\frac{\frac{1.0}{26.98} \times 3}{\frac{1.0}{6.941}}=0.77$ times longer than the production of 1.0 g Li with the same current. |
| 17 | C | The standard electrode potentials of the redox couples are: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} / \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+1.36 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} / \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+1.51 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ <br> Therefore the oxidizing agent $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}$ cannot react with the reducing agent $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} / \mathrm{NO}_{2} & +0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} / \mathrm{SO}_{2} & +0.17 \mathrm{~V} \end{array}$ <br> Therefore the oxidizing agent $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$can react with the reducing agent $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. |
|  |  | Analysis |
| 18 | D | 8.5 mL hydrochloric acid is required for 1.0 mL of the undiluted ammonia solution. $25.00 \times 8.5=212.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ hydrochloric acid is required for 25.00 mL of the undiluted ammonia solution. <br> Between 12 mL and 25 mL hydrochloric acid should be used, therefore the dilution factor must be between $\frac{212.5}{25}=8.5$ and $\frac{212.5}{12}=18$. <br> The dilution factors of A, B, C, D and E are respectively $25,50,4,10$ and 20. |


| 19 | D | During the titration $14.36 \times 0.00850=0.122 \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ was used. <br> Because $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}$, this also makes 0.122 mmol Cl - in the 10.00 mL of the diluted sodium chloride solution. Which means that 10.00 mL of the diluted NaCl solution contained 0.122 mmol NaCl . <br> In 10.00 mL undiluted solution $\frac{0.122 \times 250.0}{10.00}=3.05 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaCl}$ was present. <br> The molarity of the undiluted NaCl solution was: $\frac{3.05}{10.00}=3.05 \cdot 10^{-1} \mathrm{molL}^{-1}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | G | Fragmentation of the molecules I en III will cause $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}^{+}$fragments that peak around $m / z=43$. <br> Fragmentation of the molecule II will cause fragments of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$that peak around $m / z=43$. |
| 21 | A | At the first equivalence point at 6 mL sodium hydroxide solution only the stronger acid has completely reacted. At the second equivalence point, which is at 10 mL (so 4 mL later), the weaker acid has also completely reacted. Therefore, to completely convert a strong acid one needs more of the base, and the molarity of the strong acid is larger. This means that statement $I$ is incorrect. <br> Methyl yellow has a colour change range that lies between 2.9 and 4.0. It changes colour too early, which causes the first equivalence point to be imprecisely determined. Statement II is also wrong. |
|  |  | Chemical calculations |
| 22 | E | $\frac{16.0}{55.85} \mathrm{~mol}$ Fe reacts; this has a volume of $\frac{16.0}{7.87}=2.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. <br> This creates $\frac{16.0}{55.85} \times \frac{2}{4} \times 159.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with a volume of $\frac{\frac{16.0}{55.85} \times \frac{2}{4} \times 159.7}{5.25}=4.36 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. Increase in volume $=4.36-2.03=2.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. |
| 23 | C | The hydrocarbon with the highest mass percentage of carbon yields the largest amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ upon combustion. This is $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. |
|  |  | Green chemistry and industry |
| 24 | D | The reaction remains the same, so the atom economy stays the same. With a higher percentage yield more of the wanted product is formed. The E-factor will decrease. |
| 25 | F | 15 g of powder contains $\frac{15 \times 0.98}{81.38} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ZnO}$. This will create a maximum of $\frac{15 \times 0.98}{81.38} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}$. This method produces $\frac{8.0}{65.38} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}$. <br> The percentage yield is $\frac{\frac{8.0}{65.38}}{\frac{15 \times 0.98}{81.38}} \times 10^{2} \%=68 \%$. |

## Open questions

## Problem 2 Determining manganese levels in tea leaves

Maximum score 3
$1 \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

- $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$before the arrow and $\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ after the arrow
- $\mathrm{e}^{-}$before the arrow
- correct coefficients
-2 Maximum score 4
Examples of a correct answer are:
$\frac{0.1}{100} \times 3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in 3 g of tea, which is $\frac{\frac{0.1}{100} \times 3}{54.94} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. This reacts with
$\frac{\frac{0.1}{100} \times 3}{54.94} \times \frac{5}{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{--}$. Therefore, a minimum of $\frac{\frac{0.1}{100} \times 3}{54.94} \times \frac{5}{2} \times 230.00=3 \cdot 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KIO}_{4}$ is needed.
This is substantially less than the 0.5 g which is added.
- calculation of the number of g of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in 3 g tea leaves: divide 0.1 (\%) by $100(\%)$ and multiply by 3 (g)
- calculation of the number of moles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ : divide the number of $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{Mn}{ }^{2+}$ in 3 g tea by $54.94\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
- calculation of number of moles of $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}$needed: multiply the number of moles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ by $\frac{5}{2}$
. calculation of the minimum number of g of $\mathrm{KIO}_{4}$ needed: multiply the number of moles of $1 \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}$needed by $230.00\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and conclusion
and
0.5 g potassium periodate contains $\frac{0.5}{230.00}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}}^{-}$. This reacts with $\frac{0.5}{230.00} \times \frac{2}{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$;
which is $\frac{0.5}{230.00} \times \frac{2}{5} \times 54.94=0.048 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.
3 g tea leaves contain $\frac{0.1}{100} \times 3=0,003 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.
This is substantially less than the $0.048 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with which 0.5 g potassium periodate can react.
- calculation of the number of moles of $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}$in 0.5 g potassium periodate: divide $0.5(\mathrm{~g})$ by $230.00\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
- calculation of the number of moles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ that can react with it: multiply the number of moles of $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}$in 0.5 g potassium periodate by $\frac{2}{5}$
. calculation of the number of g of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ that can react with 0.5 g potassium periodate:
multiply the number of moles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ that can react with it by $54.94\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
- calculation of the number of g of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in 3 g tea leaves: divide 0.1 (\%) by $100(\%)$ and multiply with $3(\mathrm{~g})$ and conclusion
- 3 Maximum score 4

An example of a correct answer is:
The $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$content was $0.290 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, so there was $50.00 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.290 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$in 50.00 mL solution. Therefore, there was $50.00 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.290 \mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}$ in the 2.580 g tea leaves; that is $50.00 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.290 \times 54.94 \mathrm{mg}$. Therefore the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ mass percentage is $\frac{50.00 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.290 \times 54.94}{2.580 \times 10^{3}} \times 10^{2} \%=0.0309 \%$.

- reading the $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$content: $0.290 \pm 0.005$ ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ )
- calculation of the number of mmoles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in the 2.580 g tea leaves (is equal to the number of mmoles of $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$in the 50.00 mL solution): multiply the read $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$content by $10^{-3}\left(\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$ and by $50.00(\mathrm{~mL})$
calculation of the number of mg of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in the 2.580 g tea leaves: multiply the number of mmoles of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in the 2.580 g tea leaves by $54.94\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$
- rest of the calculation


## Note

When, in an otherwise correct answer, the $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$content was read as $0.29 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, award full marks.
-4 Maximum score 3
A correct answer can be expressed as follows:

and


- correct structural formulas of ion A and of a molecule of epoxyethane before the arrow in the first reaction equation
- correct structural formula of the coupling product after the arrow in the first reaction
correct coupling of the particle that is formed in the first reaction with a molecule of epoxyethane, depicted in structural formulas

Note:
When the following answer is given, award full marks:


口5 Maximum score 3
Examples of a correct answer are:

and


- main chain correctly represented
- methyl groups correctly represented
- start and end of the fragment indicated with $\sim \sim$, or •

If the following answer is given:


## Note

When in contradiction to the above correct structural formula a 1,2-epoxypropane unit is 'reversed' linked, do not penalize.

Maximum score 3
A correct answer can be expressed as follows:


- $\left.\mathrm{H} f \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{O}^{\ominus}$ before the arrow
- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ before the arrow
- correct formulas after the arrow

口7 Maximum score 4
An example of a correct calculation is:
$\frac{88}{44}$ mol epoxyethane reacts. And $92-88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ reacts, that is $\frac{92-88}{18} \mathrm{~mol}$.
Therefore $\frac{92-88}{18}$ mol polyepoxyethane forms. Thus $n=\frac{\frac{88}{44}}{\frac{92-88}{18}}=9$.

- calculation of the number of moles of epoxyethane that have reacted: divide $88(\mathrm{~g})$ by the
molar mass of epoxyethane
- calculation of the number of grams of water that has reacted: 92-88
- calculation of the number of moles of polyepoxyethane that has been formed (is equal to the number of moles of water that have reacted): divide the number of grams of water by the molar mass of water
- calculation of $n$ : divide the number of moles of epoxyethane that have reacted, by the number of moles of polyepoxyethane that has been formed

Maximum score 3
An example of a correct answer is:
Polyepoxyethane with (on average) longer molecules has a higher melting range due to stronger van der Waals forces. The less water reacts, (the fewer termination reactions occur and) the longer the chains that are formed. So, in experiment 2 (with the smallest amount of water), polyepoxyethane with the highest melting range is formed.

- polyepoxyethane with longer molecules has a higher melting range because the van der Waals forces are stronger
- the less water reacts, the longer the molecules that are formed
so: in experiment 2, polyepoxyethane with the highest melting range is formed
If an answer is given as: „With more water, more OH groups are formed, causing stronger molecular attachment through hydrogen bonds. Therefore, in experiment 1, polyepoxyethane with the highest melting range is formed."

